UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/043,501	01/10/2002	Mike Moran	24523-09665	9175
758 FENWICK & V	7590 05/16/200 VEST LLP	EXAMINER		
SILICON VAL 801 CALIFORI		TANG, KAREN C		
	YIEW, CA 94041		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2151	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/043,501	MORAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
KAREN C. TANG	2151	

	1011/211 0: 17110	2101
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 April 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 stension and the corresponding amount on shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	nsideration and/or search (see NO	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially red	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		timely filed amondment concelling the
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 	·	
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-37</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> .		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 		condition for allowance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
/John Follansbee/		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2151		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the prior arts Sharon in view of Elliott, did not disclosed the following limitation "the applicaition server module associating a user with the collected data and the analyzed data and generating a user specific log file including the collected data, the analyzed data and the associated user" Examiner disagrees. The Office Action filed on 2/25/08 admitted that Sharon is silent in regarding to the alleged missing limitation. However, Elliott on the other hand, disclosed the limitation. It is understood that Elliott logged data that has been analyized based on the filtering rules, and stored them (instantiate a form of log file by the act of store the data in the system memory) refer to Col 37, Lines 35-58 and the store the information associated with the user (refer to Col 37, Lines 35-37). Therefore, Sharon in view of Elliott disclosed every single claim limitation.